PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REOTO 10 JAN 2005

WIFO REPORTET

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION R

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

						<u> </u>				
Applicant's or agent's file reference XA1508				FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)						
International application No. PCT/GB 03/05462				International filing date (da	ay/mon	th/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)			
				15.12.2003			18.12.2002			
l	International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B21 D26/02									
Appli BAE		TEM	IS PLC							
1.	This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.									
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.									
	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which hat been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Author (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).									
	These annexes consist of a total of sheets.									
3.	This report contains indications relating to the following items:									
ŀ	I 🛛 Basis of the opinion									
	11		Priority							
	111		Non-establishment of	opinion with regard to no	velty, i	nventive step a	and industrial applicability			
	IV		Lack of unity of invent	ion			·			
	V Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, citations and explanations supporting such statement						ventive step or industrial applicability;			
	VI		Certain documents cit	ed						
	VII		Certain defects in the	international application						
VIII Certain observations on the international application										
Date of submission of the demand					Date o	f completion of ti	nis report			
25.06.2004					11.01	.2005				
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:					Author	ized Officer	J. Comments			
European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl						И				
Fax: +31 70 340 - 2040 1X: 31 651 epo fil					Teleph	one No. +31 70	340-2363			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/05462

I. Basis	of the	report
----------	--------	--------

ż

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	Description, Pages										
	1-2	5	as originally filed									
	Cla	Claims, Numbers										
	1-3	9	as originally filed									
	D	oning of the sta										
	Dra	Drawings, Sheets										
	1/5-	-5/5	as originally filed									
2.	Witi lanç	h regard to the langu guage in which the in	rage, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the ternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.									
	These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:											
		the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)										
		□ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).										
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.	anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under .3).									
3.	Witl inte	h regard to any nucl e rnational preliminary	eotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:									
		contained in the international application in written form.										
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.										
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.										
		l furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.										
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclering in the international application as filed has been furnished.										
		The statement that the listing has been furn	the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.									
4.	The	amendments have r	resulted in the cancellation of:									
		the description,	pages:									
		the claims,	Nos.:									
		the drawings,	sheets:									

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

ï

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/05462

5. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 5-20,24,28,29,31,32,33,35-39

No: Claims 1-4,21-23,25-27,30,34

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims 6-20,35-39

No: Claims 1-5,21-34

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-39

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-4 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT and not inventive in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

The document US-A-6053026 (D1) discloses an apparatus for modifying the shape of an aircraft component (column 1, lines 9-11). The component is forced against a reconfigurable shaped surface (see figures 1A, 1B and 2). The component is supported by a pliable intermediate member (column 3, line 53 - column 4, line 3) which conforms to the shape of the mould.

D1 discloses all the features of claims 1 - 4. The subject-matter of claims 1-4 is thus not new. As the subject-matter of claims 1-4 is not new, it is not inventive as well.

- 2). The application of the additional feature of claim 5 is considered obvious. The subject-matter of claim 5 thus does not involve an inventive step.
- The combination of the features of dependent claim 6 is neither known from, nor rendered obvious by, the available prior art. None of the prior art documents cited in the search report show elements separated by gaps whereby the intermediate member shows a stiffness such that it does not deform at the place of a gap.
- Claims 7 20 are dependent on claim 6 and as such also meet the requirements 4). of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.
- The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because 5). the subject-matter of claims 21 - 23, 25 - 27, 30 and 34 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT and not inventive in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.
- The document US-A-6264771 (D2) discloses an apparatus and method for modifying the shape of an aircraft component (see column 1, lines 6 - 8) by creep forming, wherein the component is forced against a shaped surface by an air pressure difference provided by suction (see column 2, lines 30 - 34) via a bag (16).

D2 discloses all the features of claims 21 - 23, 25 - 27, 30 and 34. The subject-matter

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY InterEXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

of claims 21 - 23, 25 - 27, 30 and 34 is thus not new. As the subject-matter of claims 21 - 23, 25 - 27, 30 and 34 is not new, it is not inventive as well.

- 7). The application of the additional feature of claim 24 is considered obvious. The subject-matter of claim 24 thus does not involve an inventive step.
- 8). The application of the additional features of claims 28, 29, 31, 32 and 33 is considered obvious. The subject-matter of these claims thus does not involve an inventive step.

Claim 37, as far as referring to claims 27 - 34, is dependent on claim 27. Claim 37, as far as referring to claims 27 - 34, is not new as D2 also discloses creep forming.

9). The document D2 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 35, and shows method for modifying the shape of an aircraft component (see column 1, lines 6 - 8) by creep forming, wherein the component is forced against a shaped surface by an air pressure difference provided by suction (see column 2, lines 30 - 34) via a bag (16).

The subject-matter of claim 35 differs from this known method in that the bag encompasses both the aircraft component and at least a portion of the support structure on the opposite side of the shaped surface to the aircraft component.

The subject-matter of claim 35 is thus new (Article 33(2) PCT).

The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as to create a more flexible shaping method with regard to mould use.

The solution to this problem proposed in claim 35 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT). The use of a bag which encompasses (partly) the mould opens the possibility to use moulds with open structure.

Claim 36 is dependent on claim 35 and as such also meets the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

Claim 37, as far as referring to claims 35 and 36, is dependent on claim claims 35 and 36. Claim 37, as far as referring to claims 35 and 36, therefore also meets the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

- 10). The apparatus of any of claims 1 5 is not new or inventive. The use of the apparatus in creep forming is not considered inventive. Thus claim 37, as far as referring to the apparatus of any of claims 1 5, does not fulfil the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 11). D1 produces aircraft components. Claims 38 and 39, as far as referring to any of claims 1 5 is therefore not new, resp. inventive.

D2 produces aircraft components. Claims 38 and 39, as far as referring to any of claims 27 - 34 is therefore not new, resp. inventive.

The aircraft component formed using the method of claims 35 or 36 is new and inventive as the method of claim 35 or 36 is new and inventive. Thus claim 38 and 39, as far as referring to claims 35 and 36 is new and inventive.